

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/690,394	10/20/2003	Justin Monk	020375-043300US	3753
20350	7590 09/21/20	05	EXAM	IINER
TOWNSEN	ND AND TOWNSE	HAVAN, T	HAVAN, THU THAO	
	ARCADERO CENTE	R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EIGHTH FLOOR			AKI UNII	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			3624	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/690,394	MONK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	1			
	•	Thu Thao Havan					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		3624	ddress			
Period fo			ar are correspondence at				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e. cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	or person con adjustments and or or in the injury.						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	une 2005.					
		s action is non-final.					
3)	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,4-6 and 8-21 is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-2, 4-6, and 8-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		received in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A44a	V-1						
Attachment		🗂 .					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) LI Interview Si Paper No(si	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			
Paper S. Patent and Tr	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	_·				
PTOL-326 (R		ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Da	ate 20050912			

Application/Control Number: 10/690,394

Art Unit: 3624

Detailed Action

Response to Amendment

Claims 1-2, 4-6, and 8-21 are pending. This action is in response to the amendment received April 4, 2005.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 4, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the arguments concerning the previously rejected claims the following comments are made:

The rejection of claims 1-2, 4-6, and 8-21 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or (e) as being unpatentable over Justice et al. (US publication no. 2003/0174823) is maintained.

Re claim 21, Justice teaches detected suspicious activity is that the transaction velocity has exceeded the predefined velocity limit (page 8, sections 0095; page 3, 0037-0039; fig. 10). In other words, Justice detects a transaction velocity exceeded the predefined velocity limit when he discloses the rate of changing transactions in a database customer account exceeds a predetermined threshold.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., different issuers) are not recited in the rejected claim 16. Although the claims are



Application/Control Number: 10/690,394

Art Unit: 3624

interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In addition, Applicant alleges that the prior art made of record fails to teach different issuers. The examiner disagrees with applicant's representative since Justice teaches different issuers (page 2, 0027; page 3, 0047; figs. 1 and 9). In other words, Justice teaches in figures 1 and 9 about different issuers when there is a plurality of issuers.

With regards to the claims rejected as unpatentable over Justice, the examiner would like to point out that the primary reference teaches the claimed limitations and thus provides adequate support for the claimed limitations. Therefore, the examiner maintains that Justice anticipated the claimed limitations.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/690,394 Page 4

Art Unit: 3624

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8111. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct-uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

TTH 9/12/2005

Vines I Wille

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600